

## chosempra <br> During a recent trip to San Francisco， 1 had a most en－
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lightening breakfast at Spaghetti Western in the Haight district．I enjoyed not only my Spuds－a－rama，but the company of Joseph Matheny，director of Mediak 3os，Dr Timothy X．T．Finnegan of CSICON（forefitinothe； Surrealist Investigation of Claims of o Walter Radtke of the OIT（Occult whangotintic ogy）We discussed our current p［Co］eEtSbucher MediaKaos＇new quarterty zing Gitor Stond，whey should be availabe in July fof fortiveinforden）， mediak（＠well．com），Dr．Fingutin Wonk The Lap book an ongoing experiment infotractive meda boidd 6 ， quote of De Selby＇s＂Wheir the text is destabilized tha destabilized get sex．＂，indad Walter＇s project of bothe Velikovsky＇s ideas and ofter unpopular memesfor Net（for information tcontact walter＠netdinticim）： We talked about reality，and the moral indsuds of the magician to chlinge it．Suddenly it hiffmetudud made this into interview，the trip widt be tux． ductible．

 Understanding．Yes，Big U－unidertandity－riot ony 4 tellectual undetranding but an Undertandeng that hat ＂hands－on＂protice，and thefreteltant seff transtorthe tion that comef from the phatice．The neterencor he： Harold Garfinde Studies inteumomethodology Frowite Hall 1966，H．Alen Smith the Compleat Procticel Jotron Doubleday 1 \＄53，R．I．Gregoly Osd Arecptions 1986 Metheun，alnd Immanual Yemorily Oedpus and Akhnaton 1960 Pocket Bookt a Gul + Watem Comp pany）．
MediaKaos has a wide array of cutturity testablicin commodities available，including ounotion，bopla， posters，videos＇They＇re primary fublashers of ctions P＇Orridge，and the on the way with such treats a David Jay Brown＇s multi－viewpoint novel Vuth Thate lachut sible by email to mediak＠well．com or shill mat to！？

MediaKaoslAthanor Arts
409 Laguna Suite \＃4D
San Francisco，CA 94102 USA

Joseph Matheny，their redoubtable helmsman，is yound， vital and intelligent－unless of course you believe the rumor that he is a fictional being created by Nick Herbert and the Wilson twins．He seemed real enough to me，but then I write for FWR．I asked him nine ques－ tions in honor of the Unknown Nine who rule the world （see Mundy Talbot The Unknown Nine）．

fwr：Why is is artisicolls：spiritually and politi－ cally importam to crate hoaves？
jm：Oh no．If I think about this question too long， ［＇ll be anined！Ok，I＇ll try to answer that without rhinght 260 the 40 much＜chuckle＞．Hoaxing thioftapkithone well can be the equiva－ Yevtof a bood Greachfing experiment．Breaching 6 apmiments were something proposed by a soci－ glogis named Harold Garfinkle，and probably
Het axplained in his book Studies in Ethnomethod－ bion Garfinkt was interested in the flexibility of
 fucddenly placed nutside their consensus reality． vont of te exparifients he chronicled in Studies Hadhe ehbratd hoxes，yet this was all done Whithin the puthitartert＂serious＂academics．I Ydg＇t want dg give tofoth away here．I＇ll just

 When fothentity yed with option of decid－
 lofun into a stale，基 ambigutys nidyning the Gature of their realty．It is mich like the state that Haf infiate ts thrown into during a rite of passage．
 stepping of the cliff ho the unknowh that mo－ meat of uncertainty when if even fris a setond．the कorld is no longer black and white．Thot is the


T 1 There are many cotro fysth in the world．
 Th ${ }^{4}$ diwas been that way ${ }^{4}$ yndrome．One of the thanstmonolithic，static of al structures endure Fthe Lack of quationsiabout their nature heing pused by the parturyant（you and me）．I think
 Khowingly or ditiknowingly the agents of chaos， the nonlinear element in the equation，the jamming signal perched midway between signal transmitter and signal receiver．History shows a long tradition of malcontents，unhappy with the way things were，who took it upon themselves to jam the
coercive signal of consensus reality．whether it te church，state，science，or peer pressure．

Everything that MediaKaos does is a＂hoax＂ in the sense that it is designed to dance．What I mean by that is，the relationship between trans－ mitter and receiver is called into question．and the receiver finds themselves in the peculiar position of having to decode the information flow，rather than sitting back and passively receiving and ac－ cepting it．Sometimes that dance takes a subtle， elegant form．and other times it＇s downright slap－ stick．It depends on the situation．the setting，etc． This process also demonstrates how information normally flows and how easy it is to manipulate it and make it fit an agenda．In the case of Media－ Kaos it is a benign，humorous one．In the case of someone like General Electric／RCA／NBC it would seem that it is not．But the botiom line to all this is，it＇s pretty goddamn funny！That＇s the real an－ swer．All that other BS I was just spouting was filler material，so you can reach your word quota． fwr：How does this fit in with the concept of neoism？
jm：Neoism as in Stewart Home and crew？Hmm． The Neoist manifestoes and The Art Strike Papers are pretty funny．The whole idea of playing a hoax on the capital A art crowd is very appealing to me． If anyone is wrapped up in a reality sandwich，its the art and academic crowd．Neoism is the bastard
child of Situationism and Fluxus，with equal parts of Dada．Futurism，Lettrisme，and guertilla media thrown in for flavor（to thoroughly mix metaphors）． The part of Neoism that I like the most，besides the press releases，is the concept of the apartment festivals．This hits the spectacle where it lives，in the home．We did something like this in the build－ ing where I live now．Several of us got together， threw our doors open，and had a party in the hall－ way．We invited anyone who passed by to join us in our＂liberation of a common space＂．It all tumed out very nicely，with barriers being broken down between folks who had formerly only nodded to each other as they passed in the hall．

Neoism uses the language of art against itself. It calls into question the place of the artist in society. Neoism is an "Assault on Culture" (to borrow a book title from Mr . Home). It is one more piece in a giant critique of art for arts sake, or art for moneys sake with the delusion of doing it for a higher purpose, (points upward) Aht! The whole concept of ownership is of course discussed and detoured in Neoism with the practice of plagiarism. Is plagianism a crime? How much of what is created is truly "original'? How can it be? What is "true originality"? I don't think Neoism answers any of these questions, but simply posing them is enough! Think about it for a minute. Even if you were raised in a cultural vacuum, could you ever be original in a pure sense? There's a whole other dimension of genetics, envimonment, etc. to take into account even after you remove historical influence! Neoism raises some interesting questions to ponder, and by doing so qualifies itself as a hoax.
fwr: Why are you a meorst?
jm: I'm not. Neoism is one of my influences. along with Situationism, Fluxus, Shiz-Flux, SMILE., Negativeland, The Cacophony Society, certain writers in bOING-bOING, Discordianism, The Church of the SubGenius, Hakim Bey, the Marx hrothers, Jocy Skaggs, Dada, Alfred Jarry, MAD magazine, William Burroughs, Brion Gysin, Aleister Crowley, Lenny Bruce, the BLF, Del Close, and a whole list of wiseacres too long to mention here because we're getting close to that word quota, and I won't go one character over it!
fwr: What's wour farorite prank?
jm: That's a hard one. Well one of my favorite pranks goes something like this: A white van pulls up outside of a local bank. Two people in white jumpsuits get out and load up with all kinds of impressive looking techware and proceed to walk into the bank waving around some official looking credentials (no one present can remember what the credentials actually said) and proclaim that they are there to do some "emission testing". Donning SCBAs they rope off an area of the main lobby with yellow Biohazard barriers and mark off a area of the wall about 6 x 9 with some tape. Next they begin waving around a few "instruments", conferring after each pass, shaking their heads negatively. Now the whole procedure is being nervously monitored by employees and management of the bank. Without a word the two "experts" walk back to the van and get a saw.
taped off area of sheetrock out of the wall, load it into the van and drive away, leaving a bank full of puzzled employees in their wake.

The lesson here? All you have to do is dress like an "official", wave ahoul some impressive objects and you can pretty much get whatever you want. The other lesson is: people will usually submit to someone who acts like they are in charge and knows what they're doing. I don't know if this was meant to be the point of the prank, but

that's what I got out of it. The whole transparency of control systems was exposed by this one, rather creative form, of bank robbery.
fwr: How has the Internet changed writing?
jm: I can only speak for myself. Being on the Net has given me a different perspective on the place of the author and the reader. It seems to me that the Net is a much more interactive form of writing than the traditional tole of "I'm the writer and you're the reader. Here's my ideas, here's my conclusion, now ain't I bright? Go tell all your friends how bright I am and how bright you are for discovering me." On the Net writing is much more immediate. People add, subtract, mangle and comment on what you have to say in a matter of minutes. It sometimes puts you in the position of having to
the other hand the Net is a notorious attention shortener. I know that if I go several days reading only Net postings, my attention span has to be retrained for a few hours before I can get back into the swing of heavy material. One thing that I appreciate about the Net is the fact that everyone is a writer of some sort. One thing is for sure. There's a lot of stuff that I have published on the Net as free works that might not have seen the light of day otherwise. The Net is the ultimate samizdat tool!
fwr: What is an author?
jm: You don't really want me to answer that do you? If I give out the secrets of the tremendous scam we're running on the rest of the world we'll all be dead within a matter of days. I say, what peopie don't know may hurt them. but at least they can't blame me!
twr: What is fiction?
jm: Life.
fwr: What is magic?
jm: Magick is the exercise of the will. It is creation (writing), to imbue something with life (writing), to incant so as to create a desired effect in the universe (writing). I'm not saying that writing is the only form of Magick. bul rather I am showing you how everything is Magick. Getting up in the moming and making coffee is a magickal act, making love. sneezing etc.
twr: Can Art change the world? Or does it help a fen' working to create a Hidden world within the world of hormors?
jm: Interesting question. Art is an attempt to change the world. It is humanity's way of trying to make beauty or order or disorder from the surrounding elements. Of course it's always more comfortable in a supportive environment surrounded by those of like mind or disposition. But what good is art for art's sake? This concept has always sickened me. My taste in ant is that which questions and engages the status quo. Pranks, experiments in guerrilla ontology, all these things are healthy for the culture. The lone voice of dissent crying out in the widderness isn't always right (whatever that means) but at least it's a different take on the consensus reality. Can ast change the world? It damn well better.

